FILED
SUPREME COURT
STATE OF WASHINGTON
9/6/2022 8:49 AM
BY ERIN L. LENNON
CLERK

NO. 101047-8

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

John Earl Erickson and Shelley Ann Erickson,

Appellants

v.

Vanessa Power, et al.,

Respondents

RESPONDENTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STRIKE APPELLANT'S REPLY AND REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

Anne Dorshimer, WSBA #50363 STOEL RIVES LLP 600 University Street, Suite 3600 Seattle, WA 98101 206.624.0900

Attorney for Respondents

Respondents' Motion to Strike the Ericksons' Reply and their related Request for Judicial Notice should be granted. In response to the Motion to Strike, the Ericksons do not offer any viable reason for declining to enforce the Rules of Appellate Procedure.

First, the Ericksons do not address the directive of RAP 13.4(d), which allows the filing of a reply brief "only if the answering party seeks review of issues not raised in the petition for review." There is no dispute that Respondents did not seek review of any issues, let alone "issues not raised in the petition for review." Respondents' answering brief to the petition for review was a direct answer to the alleged errors raised in the petition, and did not raise new issues. As such, no reply is permitted. This is dispositive.

Second, the Ericksons' argument that their reply should be permitted to allow them to address Respondents' summary of the procedural history of their multiple litigation cases is without merit. The Ericksons blatantly contend that Respondents

misrepresent facts and law in their filings. But those alleged misrepresentations are the very same issues the Ericksons raised before and were rejected by the trial court and the Court of Appeals. The Ericksons had full opportunity to explain the relevant procedural history in their petition for review. Respondents' summary of that procedural history in their own words in the answering brief does not provide a basis for filing a reply brief.

Finally, this is not an "extraordinary case" warranting supplementation of the trial court record under RAP 9.11. Regardless, appellate courts do not accept additional evidence on appeal unless all six criteria of RAP 9.11(a) are satisfied. See Harbison v. Garden Valley Outfitters, Inc., 69 Wn. App. 590, 593-94, 849 P.2d 669 (1993) (denying motion to take additional evidence on review where six conditions of RAP 9.11 were not met, and finding "no reason to excuse [] failure to present evidence to trial court" where information sought to be

introduced was known at the time of hearing on motion below).

The Ericksons fail to meet the conditions of RAP 9.11(a).

In sum, because Respondents did not seek review of issues

not raised in the petition for review, the Ericksons are not entitled

to a reply. The Ericksons' Reply and related Request for Judicial

Notice should be stricken.

This certifies that this Motion contains 386 words

pursuant to RAP 18.17.

DATED: September 6, 2022.

STOEL RIVES LLP

/s/ Anne Dorshimer Anne Dorshimer

WSBA No. 50363

Attorney for Respondents Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc.; Stoel Rives LLP;

Vanessa Power; John Glowney; and Will Eidson

3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 6, 2022, I caused the foregoing document to be e-filed with the Supreme Court of Washington, which will send electronic notice to:

John Earl Erickson Shelley Ann Erickson in propria persona 5421 Pearl Ave S.E. Auburn Washington 98092

Email: john206erickson@icloud.com;

Email: Shelleystotalbodyworks@comcast.net

/s/Anne Dorshimer Anne Dorshimer, WSBA No. 50363

Attorney for Respondents Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc.; Stoel Rives LLP; Vanessa Power; John Glowney; and Will Eidson

STOEL RIVES LLP

September 06, 2022 - 8:49 AM

Transmittal Information

Filed with Court: Supreme Court

Appellate Court Case Number: 101,047-8

Appellate Court Case Title: John Erickson, et ano v. Stoel Rives, LLP et al

The following documents have been uploaded:

• 1010478_Answer_Reply_20220906084600SC580937_8463.pdf

This File Contains:

Answer/Reply - Reply to Answer to Motion

The Original File Name was 2022.09.06 Erickson v Power - Reply ISO Motion to Strike - No 101047-8.pdf

A copy of the uploaded files will be sent to:

- accesslegalservices@gmail.com
- john206erickson@icloud.com
- leslie.lomax@stoel.com
- shelleystotalbodyworks@comcast.net

Comments:

Sender Name: Anne Dorshimer - Email: anne.dorshimer@stoel.com

Address:

600 UNIVERSITY ST STE 3600 SEATTLE, WA, 98101-4109

Phone: 206-624-0900 - Extension 7650

Note: The Filing Id is 20220906084600SC580937